UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/531,527	04/15/2005	Jianming Xu	139360USPCT	9098
Alcatel	7590 02/09/200	9	EXAM	IINER
	Intellectual Property Department 3400 W Plano Parkway M/S LELG2 Plano, TX 75075		RAMPURIA, SHARAD K	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Plano, TX 7507			2617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/09/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/531,527	XU ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	SHARAD RAMPURIA	2617		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 J</u>	s action is non-final. ince except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1-6 and 19-23 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-6 and 19-23 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct to be the order of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11).	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D: 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate		

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claims are objected to because of the following informalities: Claims that contain the following **acronym**:

ISDN, should correlate with a description. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-4 & 19-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Britt; Margaret et al.** [US 6226517 B1] in view of **Slutsman; Lev et al.** [US 6058313 A].

As per claim 1, Britt teaches:

A method for minimizing call setup delay for a call in a communication network, wherein the communication network includes a central node connected to a number portability database (Abstract), the method comprising:

triggering a first query to the central node for information for routing the call when a request for setting up the call is received by a switching unit; (Col.4; 25-43, Col.1; 49-Col.2; 19)

triggering a second query from the central node to a home location register for the routing information in order to set up the call; (Col.4; 44-56) and

Britt doesn't teach specifically, triggering a third query from the central node to the number portability database for the routing information if the second query fails to provide the routing information. However, Slutsman teaches in an analogous art, that triggering a third query from the central node to the number portability database for the routing information if the second query fails to provide the routing information. (Col.5; 54-Col.6; 17, Col.4; 3-29, Col.8; 16-25) Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to including triggering a third query from the central node to the number portability database for the routing information if the second query fails to provide the routing information in order to provide a method for enhancing call processing in a number portability environment. In particular, the invention reduces post-dial delay enabling faster attempts at routing calls to portable numbers.

Application/Control Number: 10/531,527 Page 4

Art Unit: 2617

As per claim 2, **Britt** teaches:

The method of claim 1 further comprising selecting the home location register from a first table accessible to the central node, wherein the home location register is selected based on

a mobile station ISDN and a state of a flag. (Col.3; 20-43)

As per claim 3, **Britt** teaches:

The method of claim 1 further comprising searching a second table for a location routing

number before triggering the second query, wherein the second query is only triggered if the

location routing number is not found in the second table. (Col.3; 33-43)

As per claim 4, **Britt** teaches:

The method of claim 1 wherein the home location register has a number portability

mapping database for mapping ported numbers, wherein each mobile phone known to the home

location register is identifiable by its mobile station ISDN number, and wherein triggering the

second query includes searching number portability mapping database for a mobile station ISDN

number associated with the call. (Col.3; 20-43)

Claim 19 is the system claim, corresponding to method claim 1 respectively, and rejected under

the same rational set forth in connection with the rejection of claim 1 respectively, above.

As per claim 20, **Britt** teaches:

The telecommunications system of claim 19 further comprising a number portability database (NPDB) connected to the central node, and instructions for querying the NPDB if no match is found after searching of the first and second tables. (Col.3; 20-43)

As per claim 21, **Britt** teaches:

The telecommunications system of claim 19 further comprising a network switch in communication with the central node, wherein the network switch is adapted for querying the central node for routing information when the switch receives a request to set up the call. (Col.3; 20-43)

Claims 5-6 & 22-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Britt & Slutsman** further in view of **Mazzarella**; **Nick J. et al.** [US 6819921 B2].

As per claim 5, the above combination teaches all the particulars of the claim except wherein the call is made from one wireless communication network to another. However,

Mazzarella teaches in an analogous art, that the method of claim 1 wherein the call is made from one wireless communication network to another. [Col.2; 60-66] Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to including wherein the call is made from one wireless communication network to another in order to provide a method of porting of a wireless number for a mobile station.

Art Unit: 2617

Claims 6, 22-23 are the method, system claims, corresponding to method claim 5 respectively, and rejected under the same rational set forth in connection with the rejection of claim 5 respectively, above.

Response to Remarks

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-6, 19-23, have been fully considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sharad Rampuria whose telephone number is (571) 272-7870. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F. (8:30-5 EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dwayne Bost can be reached on (571) 272-7023. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/531,527 Page 7

Art Unit: 2617

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000 or EBC@uspto.gov.

/Sharad Rampuria/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 2617